

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,709	12/17/2003	Yong-Sung Ham	0630-1835P	5806	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 04/08/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/08/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/736,709	HAM, YONG-SUNG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DABORAH CHACKO DAVIS	1795	

	DABORAH CHACKO DAVIS	1795					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
I. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CF4 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for pruposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmet patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianas with 27 CER 41 27 must be 4	ladithin tuo manth.	a of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s)			•				
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4.5.7-16.28 and 29.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
dcd	/John A. McPherson/						
March 31, 2009.	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to claims 1, 11, recite "each of the unit panels including a plurality of gate lines and data lines defining a plurality of pixels, a thin film transistor in each pixel electrode in each pixel", and changing "the first and second portions of the cliche" recited in claim 1 to "a plurality of portions" raises new issues and requires further search and consideration. Also, the newly added claims 33-34, recite "the first roll and the second roll are different rolls or same rolls" raises new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection, and because the arguments are also directed to the unentered amendment.

22